

Ambient noise tomography of western France: the VIBRIS project

Some preliminary tests

Ianis Gaudot ^(1*), Éric Beucler ⁽¹⁾, Antoine Mocquet ⁽¹⁾, Martin Schimmel ⁽²⁾, Mathieu Le Feuvre ⁽³⁾,
Donatienne Leparoux ⁽³⁾, Philippe Côte ⁽³⁾

⁽¹⁾ Laboratoire de Planétologie et Géodynamique, UMR-CNRS 6112, Nantes University, France
*ianis.gaudot@univ-nantes.fr

⁽²⁾ Institute of Earth Sciences "J. Almera" – CSIC, Spain

⁽³⁾ Institut Français des Sciences et Technologies des Transports, de l'Aménagement et des Réseaux, Nantes, France



Abstract : Noise correlation functions are now widely used to infer the properties of the medium located between two sensors. However, the ability of getting reliable measurements is related to the local noise characteristics recorded at each station and also depends on how the noise is processed. We present here observations on noise correlation functions obtained using different processing schemes from a sub-array of three broad-band sensors located in western France. This study is a first step towards a surface wave ambient noise tomography of the Armorican Massif and the Bay of Biscay as a part of the VIBRIS and PYROPE projects.

1 Geodynamical setting / questions

Geology of western France

→ Topography of the crust-mantle boundary ?

- Crustal & mantle velocities ?

Armorican massif

- Old massif (>300Ma)
- 2 variscan shear zones
- Seismically active (Mw~2-3)

After Lardeux, 1996

Bay of Biscay

- Oceanic basin of mesozoic age (~136 Ma)
- High bathymetry
- Related to building of Pyrenean belt

After Sibuet, 1994

2 Broad band seismic array

• Temporary network :

PYROPE (2011-2013) : 40
VIBRIS (2012-2013) : 5
IBERARRAY (2011-2012) : 50

• Permanent network

CEA (FR) : 2
BGS (UK) : 4
ORB (BEL) : 2
RLBP (FR) : 16

100 stations > 1 year of data

3 Processing / methodology

```

    graph TD
      A[Merge to regular day] --> B[Test no single gap > 5 sec]
      B --> C[High pass filter fc=0,01Hz]
      C --> D[Demean Detrend Remove instrument response]
      D --> E[Decimate 4Hz Band-pass]
      E --> F[Temporal whitening 1-bit norm. Spectral whitening]
      F --> G[Band pass]
      G --> H[CCGN Cross Correlation geom. Normalized]
      G --> I[PCC Phase Cross Correlation]
      H --> J[Temporal linear stacking over time]
      I --> J
  
```

CCGN
Cross Correlation geom. Normalized

$$\frac{\sum_{\tau=\tau_0}^{\tau_0+T} s_1(t+\tau)s_2(\tau)}{\sqrt{\sum_{\tau=\tau_0}^{\tau_0+T} s_1(t+\tau)^2 \sum_{\tau=\tau_0}^{\tau_0+T} s_2(\tau)^2}}$$

Max.lag = 800 sec

PCC
Phase Cross Correlation

$$\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\tau=\tau_0}^{\tau_0+T} \{e^{i\phi(t+\tau)} + e^{i\phi(\tau)} - [e^{i\phi(t+\tau)} - e^{i\phi(\tau)}]\}$$

Max.lag = 800 sec

per day

4 Noise correlation function

3 stations (vertical component only)
3 months summer 2012

- convergence to a coherent, propagative, and robust signal.
- the "NW PCC" technique provides slightly better results than the "WW CCGN" technique. This improvement needs to be quantified.
- sources of noise highly inhomogeneous in space and frequency. Local site effects (versus global) need to be characterized.
- presence of a frequency dependent and transient near zero-lag signal that needs to be characterized.

Comparison between two processing techniques (3-20 seconds period band)

NW PCC
No temporal, no spectral whitening + Phase Cross Correlation (Schimmel, 1999)

WW CCGN
Temporal, spectral whitening + Cross Correlation Geometrically Normalized

PCC
Phase Cross Correlation

— causal part
-- anticausal part

■ signal window used to compute signal to noise ratio

1-3 sec 3-5 sec 5-7 sec

20 days stack-1
20 days stack-2

5 VIBRIS project

Conclusion : In these preliminary tests, we show that the phase cross correlation technique without whitening provides at least as good results than the geometrically normalized cross correlation technique with whitening. Thus, we conclude that it is possible to avoid strong non linear operation of normalization for processing this particular dataset. We also observe that the ambient noise recorded in western France mainly comes from the Northern Atlantic during summer, as shown by asymmetry of the noise cross correlation functions at all the three station pairs. Moreover, we observe that the speed of convergence varies as a function of the station pair and is frequency dependent in the period range between 1 and 7 seconds. These particular observations can be due to local effects that need to be characterized in a further study.

References : Lardeux, 1996, Guide géologique de la Bretagne, Ed. Masson.
Schimmel, 1999, Phase cross-correlation: design, comparisons, and applications, Bull.seism.Soc.Am, 89, 1366-1378.
Sibuet J.C., Monti S., Pautot G., 1994. New bathymetric map of the Bay of Biscaye. C.R.Acad. Sc., 318, II,615-625.

Acknowledgments : This work is part of I.Gaudot's PhD thesis, in the framework of the VIBRIS project, sponsored by the Région des Pays de la Loire in collaboration with IFSTTAR. We thank M.Campillo for fruitful discussions.

Methods : seismic field data acquisition and processing, small scale modeling, numerical simulation.